# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Christelle Dagoneau, Jean-Noël Denis,\* Christian Philouze, Marie-Thérèse Averbuch-Pouchot and Yannick Vallée

LEDSS, UMR CNRS/UJF 5616, Institut de Chimie Moléculaire de Grenoble, FR-2607, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble I, 301 rue de la Chimie, BP 53, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Correspondence e-mail: jean-noel.denis@ujf-grenoble.fr

### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.046 wR factor = 0.066 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# (2SR,3SR,4RS)-tert-Butyl 2,3-dihydroxy 4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)aminohexanoate

The reaction of  $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -ethylenic esters with OsO<sub>4</sub> yields the corresponding  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dihydroxy compounds with good diastereoselectivity. The crystal structure of the major stereomer, (2*SR*,3*SR*,4*RS*)-Me<sub>2</sub>CHC\\-forcelb]H(NHCO<sub>2</sub>'Bu)CHOHCHOHCO<sub>2</sub>'Bu, C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>, shows that the relative stereochemistry of the CHO–CHO–CHN sequence is *anti–syn*.

### Comment

For many years, our group has focused on the synthesis of  $\gamma$ -amino acid fragments obtained via addition of alkyl 3-lithiopropiolates to nitrones (Dagoneau et al., 1999, 2001; Denis et al., 1997). The  $\gamma$ -N-hydroxyamino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -acetylenic esters formed during this process are highly functionalized synthetic intermediates. We have developed original approaches leading to  $\gamma$ -amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -saturated esters (Dagoneau et al., 2001),  $\gamma$ -(Nbenzyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -ethylenic esters and/or  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -ethylenic  $\gamma$ -lactames (Denis *et al.*, 1997). On the basis of this methodology, we have recently described the synthesis of the (Z)- $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha,\beta$ -ethylenic esters 3 (Dagoneau et al., 1999), which may be easily dihydroxylated to afford the corresponding  $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dihydroxy esters (Dondoni et al., 1993; Imashiro et al., 1998; Reetz et al., 1996). In this paper, we describe the dihydroxylation of the (Z)- $\gamma$ -(N-t-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -ethylenic *tert*-butvl esters 3 by osmium tetroxide in the presence of N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (NMO), yielding the corresponding  $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dihydroxy esters 4 and 5 (Scheme 1). We also discuss the crystal structure analysis of compound 4b (Fig. 1).

As shown in Scheme 1, the two hydroxy groups have been introduced stereoselectively. The aminodiols have been obtained as a mixture of the two diastereomers, anti-syn, 4, and anti-anti, 5, in more than 3:1 ratios. In the dihydroxylation of a heterosubstituted allylic double bond (in particular of Zstereochemistry) by osmium tetroxide, which is sensitive to the steric effect (allylic 1.3-strain; Cha et al., 1984; Cha & Kim, 1995; Hoffmann, 1989; Koskinen & Chen, 1991), we expected the formation of the anti-anti aminodiols resulting in the dihydroxylation reaction from the less hindered face of the double bond. However, a crystallographic study of the major stereomer 4b (Scheme 1) showed that the relative stereochemistry of the C2-C4 sequence is anti-syn. This result clearly points to the approach of the reagent to the C–C  $\pi$ face bearing the N-t-butoxycarbonyl group, i.e. the most hindered face. One of the main features of this atomic arrangement is the existence of three hydrogen bonds. One is intramolecular (O3 $-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O5$ ; Fig. 1), while the other two  $[N1-H1\cdotsO1^{i}]$  and  $O4-H3\cdotsO3^{i}$ ; symmetry code (i) as in

Received 26 January 2004 Accepted 26 February 2004 Online 6 March 2004 Table 2] are intermolecular and connect the organic entities to build an infinite chain extending along the *c*-axis direction. Table 2 reports the main geometric features of this hydrogenbond network, while Fig. 2 gives a perspective view of it. A similar *anti–syn* stereoselectivity has been described by Imashiro *et al.* (1998). It has been speculated that *syn* selectivity might be explained by the existence of a hydrogen bond between osmium tetroxide and the *N-t*-butoxycarbonyl H atom, leading to the formation of the *anti–syn* aminodiols (Imashiro *et al.*, 1998). By extrapolation, we expect that the major compounds 4*a* and 4*c* also possess the same *anti–syn* stereochemistry. The  $\gamma$ -(*N-t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dihydroxy esters 4 and 5 represent the protected side chains, respectively, of the antitumour compounds Y-05460M-A (Sato *et al.*, 1992) and PM-94128 (Canedo *et al.*, 1997; Scheme 2).







# $\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$

### Figure 1

A view of the molecule, with displacement ellipsoids shown at the 30% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.



### Figure 2

The N-H···O and O-H···O hydrogen bonding and the infinite chain extending along the *c*-axis direction. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 20% probability level.

CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.98 (*t*, *J* = 6.1 and 6.2 Hz, 6H), 1.43 (*s*, 9H), 1.52 (*s*, 9H), 1.82–1.90 (*m*, 1H), 3.27 (*s*, 1H), 3.47 (*t*, *J* = 9.0 and 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (*s*, 2H), 4.35 (*s*, 1H), 4.73 (*d*, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  19.6, 19.7, 28.0, 28.3, 29.7, 56.4, 71.8, 71.9, 80.0, 83.2, 157.6, 172.8; IR (neat): 3445 ( $\nu_{OH}$ ), 3370 ( $\nu_{NH}$ ), 1725 [ $\nu_{C=O}$  ester], 1675 cm<sup>-1</sup> [ $\nu_{C=O}$  carbamate; mass spectrum (CI, NH<sub>3</sub> + isobutane) *m*/*z* 334 (MH<sup>+</sup>). Analysis calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>: C 57.64, H 9.37, N 4.20%; found: C 57.57, H,9.38, N 4.14%. For 5b: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.88 (*d*, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (*d*, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.56–3.96 (*m*, 3H), 4.12–4.24 (*m*, 1H), 4.28 (*d*, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  15.7, 20.0, 27.5, 28.2, 28.4, 56.1, 73.4, 74.0, 80.2, 82.4, 156.6, 171.0; mass spectrum (CI, NH<sub>3</sub> + isobutane) *m*/*z* 334 (*M*H<sup>+</sup>).

## Experimental

 $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-Butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dihydroxy *tert*-butyl esters 4 and 5 were prepared according to the following procedure. To a stirred solution of  $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -ethylenic *tert*-butyl ester 3b (108 mg, 0.36 mmol) in an acetone/water mixture (12 ml, 7/1) under argon were added successively N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (NMO, 55 mg, 0.54 mmol) and  $OsO_4$  (14 mg, 0.05 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 36 h and sodium bisulfite was added slowly. After 5 min of stirring, the solution was diluted with ethyl acetate and water. After separation of the two phases, the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to yield the crude product. Chromatography on silica gel (eluant ethyl acetate-pentane, 4/1) afforded 68 mg (0.2 mmol) of the major diastereomer 4b, 16 mg (0.05 mmol) of the minor diastereomer 5b and 20 mg (0.06 mmol) of a mixture of 4b and 5b. The overall yield was 86%. Scheme 1 shows the experimental process for the preparation of  $\gamma$ -(*N*-*t*-butoxycarbonyl)amino- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dihydroxy tertbutyl esters 4 and 5. For 4b: m.p. 393–394 K; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,

Crystal data

 $C_{16}H_{31}NO_6$   $M_r = 333.42$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 9.077 (4) Å b = 21.777 (5) Å c = 10.19 (1) Å  $\beta = 107.02$  (6)° V = 1926 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

```
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
\omega scans
Absorption correction: none
6037 measured reflections
5752 independent reflections
3323 reflections with I > 2.5\sigma(I)
R_{\rm int} = 0.011
```

### Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.046 wR = 0.066 S = 1.693323 reflections 208 parameters

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å,  $^{\circ}$ ).

O1-C1	1.2058 (19)	C2-C3	1.528 (2)
O2-C1	1.3245 (19)	C3-C4	1.535 (2)
O2-C8	1.485 (2)	C4-C5	1.531 (2)
O3-C2	1.424 (2)	C5-C6	1.514 (3)
O4-C3	1.419 (2)	C5-C7	1.508 (3)
O5-C12	1.227 (2)	C8-C9	1.503 (3)
O6-C12	1.3385 (19)	C8-C10	1.518 (3)
O6-C13	1.476 (2)	C8-C11	1.515 (3)
N1-C4	1.456 (2)	C13-C14	1.507 (4)
N1-C12	1.340 (2)	C13-C15	1.504 (3)
C1-C2	1.517 (2)	C13-C16	1.503 (3)
C1-O2-C8	121.98 (12)	C6-C5-C7	109.60 (18)
C12-O6-C13	121.62 (14)	02-C8-C9	108.55 (15)
C4-N1-C12	123.20 (14)	O2-C8-C10	110.97 (14)
O1-C1-O2	125.88 (16)	O2-C8-C11	102.09 (14)
O1-C1-C2	123.01 (15)	C9-C8-C10	112.81 (16)
O2-C1-C2	111.11 (13)	C9-C8-C11	111.76 (17)
O3-C2-C1	106.76 (13)	C10-C8-C11	110.14 (16)
O3-C2-C3	111.20 (13)	O5-C12-O6	125.36 (15)
C1-C2-C3	108.92 (14)	O5-C12-N1	124.49 (15)
O4-C3-C2	109.27 (13)	O6-C12-N1	110.15 (14)
O4-C3-C4	112.57 (13)	O6-C13-C14	110.77 (16)
C2-C3-C4	112.85 (13)	O6-C13-C15	102.40 (17)
N1-C4-C3	110.24 (12)	O6-C13-C16	109.65 (16)
N1-C4-C5	109.62 (13)	C14-C13-C15	111.1 (2)
C3-C4-C5	113.08 (14)	C14-C13-C16	111.2 (2)
C4-C5-C6	111.23 (15)	C15-C13-C16	111.4 (2)
C4-C5-C7	112.11 (18)		

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation					
Cell parameters from 25					
reflections					
$\theta = 10.0 - 11.8^{\circ}$					
$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$					
T = 293.2  K					
Prism, colourless					
$0.20 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$					
$\theta = 30.0^{\circ}$					
$\sigma_{\rm max} = 50.0$					
$h = 0 \rightarrow 12$					
$k = -30 \rightarrow 30$					
$l = -14 \rightarrow 13$					

 $D_x = 1.150 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

2 standard reflections every 120 reflections intensity decay: 0.8%

H-atom parameters not refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.00063|F_o|^2]$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.007$   $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.21 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.18 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$ 

### **Table 2** Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O3-H2···O5	0.90	2.02	2.8551 (19)	154
$N1-H1\cdots O1^i$	0.88	2.17	3.012 (2)	163
$O4{-}H3{\cdot}{\cdot}O3^i$	0.93	2.03	2.943 (2)	169

Symmetry code: (i)  $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

The H atoms were set geometrically or using difference Fourier maps. They were recalculated before the last refinement cycle.

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992–1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN*; molecular graphics: *ORTEP*III (Burnett & Johnson, 1996), *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997) and *CAMERON* (Watkin *et al.*, 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN*.

Financial support from the Association pour la Recherche Contre le Cancer (ARC) and a fellowship (MENRT) from the French Government to CD are gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Canedo, L. M., Fernandez Puentes, J. L., Perez Baz, J., Acebal, C., de la Calle, F., Gravalos, D. G. & Garcia de Quesada, T. (1997). J. Antibiot. **50**, 175–176.
- Cha, J. K., Christ, W. J. & Kishi, Y. (1984). Tetrahedron, 40, 2247-2255.
- Cha, J. K. & Kim, N.-S. (1995). Chem. Rev. 95, 1761–1795.
- Dagoneau, C., Denis, J.-N. & Vallée, Y. (1999). Synlett, pp. 602-604.
- Dagoneau, C., Tomassini, A., Denis, J.-N. & Vallée, Y. (2001). Synthesis, pp. 150–154.
- Denis, J.-N., Tchertchian, S., Tomassini, A. & Vallée, Y. (1997). Tetrahedron Lett. 38, 5503–5506.
- Dondoni, A., Merino, P. & Perrone, D. (1993). Tetrahedron, 49, 2939-2956.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Hoffmann, R. W. (1989). Chem. Rev. 89, 1841-1860.
- Imashiro, R., Sakurai, O., Yamashita, T. & Horikawa, H. (1998). *Tetrahedron*, 54, 10657–10670.
- Koskinen, A. M. P. & Chen, J. (1991). Tetrahedron Lett. 32, 6977-6980.
- Molecular Structure Corporation. (1992–1997). TEXSAN. Version 1.7. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Reetz, M. T., Strack, T. J., Mutulis, F. & Goddard, R. (1996). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **37**, 9293–9296.
- Sato, T., Nagai, K., Suzuki, K., Morioka, M., Saito, T., Nohara, C., Susaki, K. & Takebayashi, Y. (1992). J. Antibiot. 45, 1949–1952.
- Watkin, D. M., Pearce, L. & Prout, C. K. (1993). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.